## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/835,884

Applicant(s)

Sarkissian

Examiner

Lun-yi Lao

Art Unit 2673

	10) 0 11 14
(1) Bipin Shalwala	(3) Ralph Albrecht
(2) <u>Lun-yi, Lao</u>	(4) <u>Arthur Sarkissian</u> 2)□ applicant's representative]
Date of Interview	
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant :	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes e)  No. If yes, brief description:	
Claim(s) discussed: 20	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
any other comments: (see attached paper)	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendrallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ments which the examiner agreed would render the claims opy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa	ate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMA INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPE	
SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
	of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application/Control Number: 09/835,884

Art Unit: 2673

The examiner has received applicant's 160 pages Amendment After Final on June 26th, 2003 on the RightFAX. In the morning of July 1, 2003, applicant and Mr. Ralph Albrecht argues that Kazarian(5,949,401), Ben-Arie(5,408,621) and Nagai(5,405,152) do not teach the limitation of "said key-surround key comprises a non-rotatable, substantially washer-shaped substantially circular data entry key" cited in claim 20. However, this new limitation was not underlined by applicant on the amendment after final filed on June 26, 2003 and the examiner thought the limitation of "said key-surround key comprises a non-rotatable, substantially washer-shaped substantially circular data entry key" has been searched on the final rejection those references (5,949,401, 5,408,621 and 5,405,152) are the best references for rejecting claim 20. Therefore, examiner was agreed to allow this application if some changes (suggested by Mr. Albrecht) and corrections have been made on this application. The same data later, the examiner discovered this limitation of "said key-surround key comprises a non-rotatable, substantially washer-shaped substantially circular data entry key" does not contain in claim 20 of the amendment before final filed on October 12, 2002 through painstaking line-by-line comparison. The amendment after final filed on June 26, 2003 raises new issue. The examiner needs to do further search on this application and can not allow this application at this time. The examiner called the applicant right away that he need to filed a RCE or Notice of Appeal in order to continue to process the application since this limitation of "said key-surround key comprises a non-rotatable, substantially washer-shaped substantially circular data entry key" is new issue.

Art Unit: 2673

The applicant failed to do it. Now, this case has been abandoned and the applicant needs to file a petition to revive the abandoned application.

July 18, 2003

Lun-yi Lao Primary Examiner

Note\*)

<sup>\*</sup>the applicant only crossed out the deleted items in the amendment after final filed on June 26, 2003, but did not underline the new limitation like he did his later(July 2, 2003) mailed-in After Final Amendment still puzzles the examiner.